

United States Patent and Trademark Office

(G)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,871		06/22/2001	Varouj Amirkhanian	1031/204	8028
26588	7590	01/24/2005		EXAMINER	
LIU & L			COUNTS, GARY W		
811 WEST SEVENTH STREET, SUITE 1100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	09/887,871	AMIRKHANIAN, VAROUJ					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gary W. Counts	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 November 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26-31</u> is/are rejected.	3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attach manut(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \] 4) \[\sum \text{Interview Summary (PTO-413)} \]							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa						

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims

The Request for Continued Examination filed November 22, 2004 and the amendment filed October 21, 2004 is acknowledged and has been entered.

Rejections withdrawn

The rejection of the specification is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the specification.

The rejection of claims 1-24 and 26-31 for failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

The rejection of claims 1-24 and 26-31 as being vague and indefinite is withdrawn in view of he amendments to the claims.

The rejection of claims 1-24 and 26-31 as being obvious is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims and applicant's arguments.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1-24 and 26-31 are allowable.
- 2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither teaches nor suggests a bio-separation device as recited in the instant claims. For the closest prior art please see the previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,871

Art Unit: 1641

Double Patenting

Page 3

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1, 3-5, 29 and 30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,529,275. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application and US Patent 6,529,275 claim a detection system for a bio-separation device comprising a separation channel; a detection section; means for introducing excitation radiation; and a means for detecting radiation emission. The current application is directed to a means for introducing radiation axially whereas US 6,529,275 is directed to merely a means for introducing excitation radiation and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the narrower claim of introducing radiation axially would encompass the broader claim of introducing radiation.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 21, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims in the present application are directed to incident axial excitation radiation, not axial detection of emitted radiation as in the pending claims in copending application no. 09/887,953. Further, all of Applicant's arguments are directed to copending application no. 09/887,953. These arguments are not found persuasive because the Examiner has not imposed a double patenting rejection with application no. 09/887,953. The double patenting rejection is based on the instant application and US Patent 6,529, 275. Therefore, the double patenting rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary W. Counts whose telephone number is (571) 2720817. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,871

Art Unit: 1641

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Counts

Examiner

Art Unit 1641

January 18, 2005

I ary Counts

LÔNG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

01/17/05